completely different from that of Miekka, which merely recites a basic prior art process for preparing a hologram. Miekka does not disclose the step of removing the support foil after transferring the holographic structures from the support foil to the sheet. Therefore, it can not be anticipatory. Furthermore, Miekka teaches only the embossing of a film. It does not in any way relate to the applicants' novel approach, involving embossing a support foil, applying a sheet to the support foil to thereby transfer the corresponding embossed structures, and removing the support foil, so that the holographic sheet remains. Therefore, the claims are not obvious.

Wherefore, allowance of all pending claims is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Hruce S. Londa (33,531)

Attorney for Applicant

Norris Mclaughlin & Marcus P.C. 220 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street, 30<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, N.Y. 10017

Telephone: (212)808-0700 Facsimile: (212)808-0844

## MARKED-UP CLAIMS

1. (amended) Process for producing a sheet bearing a hologram, comprising the steps of, in order: in which first of all

embossing a support foil on one side embossed by means of an embossing tool, the embossing tool having holographic structures, and then a sheet is produced providing a sheet on the one side of the embossed support foil so that corresponding holographic structures are imparted to said sheet, and

removing the support foil, so that

whereby at least one hologram based on said corresponding holographic structures is produced on the sheet.